

Quantizing the open string - Part II

Last time, we started on quantizing the open string (using the canonical formalism, in light-front gauge).

We • wrote down the mode expansion for $X^M(\tau, \sigma)$

$$(1.1) \quad X^I(\tau, \sigma) = x_0^I + 2\alpha' p^I \tau + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^I e^{-in\tau} - \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n}^I e^{in\tau} \right) \cos(n\sigma)$$

• Had a first glimpse of the Virasoro generators

$$\alpha_n^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha'} p^+} L_n^- \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n-p}^I \alpha_p^I$$

(from LF gauge constraint giving $X^-(X^I\text{'s})$)

$$(1.2) \quad L_0^- + a = \alpha' p^I p^I + \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}} p \underbrace{a_p^I a_p^I}_{\# \text{ operator}}$$

so that

$$(1.3) \quad \boxed{\alpha' M^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^I a_n^I + a}$$

$a = -\frac{(D-2)}{24}$

Virasoro algebra

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Define } \boxed{L_n^- = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_p^I \alpha_{n-p}^I}$$

Last time we discussed the fact that

• α_p^I only fail to commute when have same index

i.e. normal-ordering of α_p^I operators matters only for L_0^- . But it's crucial, because L_0^- is the Hamiltonian on the worldsheet in light-front gauge (see e.g. §(12-16).) ∇

Hermiticity

Since $(\alpha_p^\pm)^\dagger = \alpha_p^\mp$, $(\alpha_n^-)^\dagger = \alpha_{-n}^+$, so that

(2.1)

$$\boxed{(L_n^\pm)^\dagger = L_{-n}^\pm}$$

Previously, we learned that

$$[\alpha_m^\pm, \alpha_n^\mp] = m \delta_{m+n,0} \eta^{\pm\mp}$$

From this, and the definition (1.4), it follows straight forwardly that

(2.2)

$$\boxed{[L_m^\pm, \alpha_n^\mp] = -n \alpha_{m+n}^\mp}$$

Notice that

- the mode # on the LHS of (2.2) is the same as the mode # on the right (✓)
- the spatial index structure is also preserved (✓)

One more application of commutators and the definition of L_n^\pm gives

$$[L_m^\pm, L_n^\pm] = (m-n) L_{m+n}^\pm, \quad m+n \neq 0$$

Finding the result for $m+n=0$ is significantly more work but again can be done; this time, you end up needing (again) to use that subtraction procedure on the zero-point energies sum that we saw last time. Then, finally (see Zwiebach pp 222-226)

(2.3)

$$\boxed{[\ast] [\ast] [L_m^\pm, L_n^\pm] = (m-n) L_{m+n}^\pm + \frac{(D-2)}{12} (m^3 - m) \delta_{m+n,0}}$$

Notice in particular how the quadratic story for α_n^- in terms of α_n^+ leads to a very different set of commutation relations for the α_n^- (L_n^\pm) as compared to the CCR's for the α_n^+ !

▷ Go through the derivation for yourself. !

The Virasoro algebra is very important for string theory.

(3)

Let us see what they do physically for our open string. (Sneak peek: L_m^\perp will actually generate for us reparametrizations of the worldsheet!)

Using the mode expansion (1.1), we can figure the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= [L_m^\perp, x_0^I] \\ &\quad + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \cos(n\sigma) e^{-in\tau} [L_m^\perp, \alpha_n^I] \\ &= -i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \alpha_m^I - i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \cos(n\sigma) e^{-in\tau} \alpha_{m+n}^I \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\alpha_0^I = \sqrt{2\alpha'} p^I$, $[x_0^I, p^J] = i\eta^{IJ}$ and eqn (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } [L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= -i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cos(n\sigma) e^{-in\tau} \alpha_{m+n}^I \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)}] \alpha_{m+n}^I \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i(n-m)(\tau+\sigma)} \alpha_n^I \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i(n-m)(\tau-\sigma)} \alpha_n^I \end{aligned}$$

Compare this to derivatives of string coords $X^I(\tau, \sigma)$

$$\Rightarrow [L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] = -\frac{i}{2} e^{im(\tau+\sigma)} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} \alpha_n^I - \frac{i}{2} e^{-im(\tau-\sigma)} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} \alpha_n^I$$

(sum of L- and R-moving pieces)

cf.

$$\dot{X}^I \pm X'^I = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^I e^{-in(\tau \pm \sigma)}$$

$$\text{So } [L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] = \frac{-i}{2} e^{im(\tau+\sigma)} (\dot{X}^I + X'^I) - \frac{i}{2} e^{-im(\tau-\sigma)} (\dot{X}^I - X'^I)$$

(4.1) i.e.
$$\boxed{[L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] = -i e^{im\tau} \cos(m\sigma) \dot{X}^I(\tau, \sigma) + e^{im\tau} \sin(m\sigma) X'^I(\tau, \sigma)}$$

If we were to reparametrize

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau + \epsilon (-i e^{im\tau} \cos(m\sigma)) \equiv \tau + \epsilon \xi_m^\tau$$

$$\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + \epsilon (e^{im\tau} \sin(m\sigma)) \equiv \sigma + \epsilon \xi_m^\sigma$$

then
$$X^I(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow X^I(\tau + \epsilon \xi_m^\tau, \sigma + \epsilon \xi_m^\sigma)$$

$$\cong X^I + \epsilon \xi_m^\tau \dot{X}^I + \epsilon \xi_m^\sigma X'^I$$

$$= X^I + [L_m^\perp, X^I]$$

i.e.

(4.2)
$$\boxed{\delta X^I(\tau, \sigma) = [L_m^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)]} \quad (\text{Virasoro})$$

(4.3) where
$$\delta\tau = \epsilon \xi_m^\tau = \epsilon (-i e^{im\tau} \cos(m\sigma))$$

$$\delta\sigma = \epsilon \xi_m^\sigma = \epsilon (e^{im\tau} \sin(m\sigma))$$

So indeed the Virasoro generators perform worldsheet reparametrizations.

One special application of this is to take $m=0$ so that
$$\delta\tau = \epsilon \cdot -i, \quad \delta\sigma = 0.$$

Then eqn (4.2) says that

(4.4)
$$\delta X^I = [L_0^\perp, X^I] = -i \dot{X}^I$$

This is Heisenberg equation for time evolution (in QM.)

So indeed L_0^\perp is the worldsheet Hamiltonian. 😊

Lorentz algebra

(5)

Previously we wrote down the conserved world-sheet currents and hence charges

$$(5.1) \quad M_{\mu\nu} = \int_0^\pi d\sigma (X_\mu P_\nu^\tau - X_\nu P_\mu^\tau)$$

which, in our parametrization, just gives

$$(5.2) \quad M_{\mu\nu} = \int_0^\pi d\sigma (x^\mu \dot{x}^\nu - x^\nu \dot{x}^\mu)$$

classically

We really, really, really want to know if there are any 'delicacies' at all involved in defining these charges as quantum operators!

Using the mode expansions (1.1) and CCRs for α_n^μ , gives

$$(5.3) \quad M_{(\text{cl})}^{\mu\nu} = x_0^\mu p^\nu - x_0^\nu p^\mu - i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_{-n}^\mu \alpha_n^\nu - \alpha_{-n}^\nu \alpha_n^\mu)$$

This is the classical expression in terms of modes. Note that, as required for conserved charges, there is no τ dependence. (The σ integration and orthogonality of Fourier modes was also useful.)

Big Question: is (5.3) valid in Quantum Theory?

Let's see if we can make sense of these dudes.

⊗ Most delicate will be the M^{-I} ; in LF gauge anything involving a $-$ index is always more complicated or subtle (because we found X^- in terms of " $(X^I)^2$ " using the constraints and the LF gauge condition!)

Let us postulate that (5.3) is OK for $\mu=I, \nu=J$ but that M^{-J} is more subtle.

Try

$$(6.1) \quad M^{-I} = x_0^- p^I - \frac{1}{2} (x_0^I p^- + p^- x_0^I) - i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_{-n}^- \alpha_n^I - \alpha_{-n}^I \alpha_n^-) \quad (6)$$

don't commute

(6.2) Then $(M^{-I})^\dagger = M^{-I}$ because $(\alpha_n^I)^\dagger = \alpha_{-n}^I$ & $(\alpha_n^-)^\dagger = \alpha_{-n}^-$.
 \Rightarrow Hermitean.

Now. What about normal-ordering of operators?

The α_n^- are normal-ordered \because they are Virasoro's.

$$\text{So } M^{-I} = x_0^- p^I - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_0^I \frac{(L_0^I + a)}{2\alpha' p^+} - \frac{(L_0^I + a)}{2\alpha' p^+} x_0^I \right) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\alpha'}} p^+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (L_{-n}^I \alpha_n^I - \alpha_n^I L_n^I)$$

Finding the $[M^{-I}, M^{-J}]$ is long, it involves D and a , but it is do-able.

I recommend this as an exercise.

The central point about this commutator is

$$(6.3) \quad [M^{-I}, M^{-J}] = \frac{1}{\alpha' (\beta^+)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{-m}^I \alpha_m^J - \alpha_{-m}^J \alpha_m^I) \times \left\{ m \left[1 - \frac{(D-2)}{24} \right] + \frac{1}{m} \left[\frac{(D-2)}{24} + a \right] \right\}$$

where Zwiebach uses δ -functions when needed and our previously figured CCR's (LONG calculation) \hookrightarrow have a go?

Closure of the Lorentz algebra would imply,

In this case,

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\alpha\beta}] = i M_{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\nu\beta} - M_{\mu\beta} \eta_{\nu\alpha} - i M_{\nu\alpha} \eta_{\mu\beta} + i M_{\nu\beta} \eta_{\mu\alpha}$$

but $\eta_{--} = 0$ and $M_{--} = 0$ (antisymmetry) so we require

$$(6.4) \quad [M^{I-}, M^{J-}] = 0$$

This requires, $\forall m, \quad 1 - \frac{(D-2)}{24} = 0$ and $\frac{(D-2)}{24} + a = 0$

Solved by **Critical dimension** $D=26$ and **Zero-point energy** $a=-1$

(7)

24 Transverse Coordinates

This is a **FRIGGIN' HUGE RESULT!**

By insisting that Lorentz symmetry generators act properly as quantum operators

- Hermitian

- obey Lorentz algebra

we have just derived the critical dimension ($D=26$) of bosonic string theory. WOO HOO!

In superstring theory, which we will touch upon later, the critical dimension becomes 10. (The reason is that fermions also contribute zero-point energy...)

Constructing open-string state space

Introduce ground states $|p^t, \vec{p}_T\rangle$

(7.1) and demand $a_n^I |p^t, \vec{p}_T\rangle = 0$, $n \geq 1$

Act on these babies with $\{(a_n^J)^\dagger; J, n\}$ to make states

(7.2) $|\lambda\rangle = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{I=2}^{25} (a_n^I)^\dagger \lambda_{n,I} |p^t, \vec{p}_T\rangle$

times the $(a_n^I)^\dagger$ appears

A general state will be a superposition of these basis states $|\lambda\rangle$.

What does a state with a particular $\{\lambda_{n,I}\}$ look like?

$$\alpha' M^2 = N - 1$$

where $N^\perp \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n (a_n^I)^\dagger a_n^I \leftarrow (\text{from } L_0^\perp = \alpha' p^\perp p^\perp + N^\perp) \quad (8)$

(8.1) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{and in fact } \alpha' M^2 = N^\perp - 1 \\ [N^\perp, a_m^I] = -m a_m^I \quad \text{and} \quad [N^\perp, (a_m^I)^\dagger] = +m (a_m^I)^\dagger \\ N^\perp |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle = 0 \end{array} \right.$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} & N^\perp (a_3^J)^\dagger (a_2^I)^\dagger |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle \\ &= ([N^\perp, (a_3^J)^\dagger] + (a_3^J)^\dagger N^\perp) (a_2^I)^\dagger |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle \\ &= 3 (a_3^J)^\dagger (a_2^I)^\dagger |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle + 2 (a_3^J)^\dagger (a_2^I)^\dagger |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle + 0 + 0 \\ &= 5 (a_3^J)^\dagger (a_2^I)^\dagger |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle \quad \text{i.e. } \underline{5} \text{ units of stringy excitation} \end{aligned}$$

More generally,

(8.2)
$$\begin{aligned} N^\perp |\lambda\rangle &= N^\perp_\lambda |\lambda\rangle \quad \text{where} \\ N^\perp_\lambda &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I=2}^{25} n \lambda_{n,I} \end{aligned}$$

Normalization of groundstate :-

(8.3)
$$\langle p'^\perp, \vec{p}'_T | p^\perp, \vec{p}_T \rangle = \delta(p'^\perp - p^\perp, \vec{p}'_T - \vec{p}_T)$$

Bras dual to kets $|\lambda\rangle$ are

$$\langle \lambda | = \langle p^\perp, \vec{p}_T | \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I=2}^{25} (a_n^I)^\dagger \lambda_{n,I}$$

For the inner product,

(8.4)
$$\begin{aligned} \langle X | \lambda \rangle &= \langle p'^\perp, \vec{p}'_T | a_1 (a_1^J)^\dagger | p^\perp, \vec{p}_T \rangle \\ &= \delta^{IJ} \delta(p'^\perp - p^\perp) \delta(\vec{p}'_T - \vec{p}_T) \end{aligned}$$

We can now use these results to do some well-known results and REALLY read them!

So. α being $-1 \Rightarrow$ tachyon @ low-E mode.
 Next step up is to compute for interesting others.

With $(\alpha_I^\dagger)^\dagger |p^\dagger, \vec{p}_T^\dagger\rangle$ one has $M^2(\alpha_I^\dagger)^\dagger |p^\dagger, \vec{p}_T^\dagger\rangle$

$$(9.1) \quad M^2=0 \text{ state: } \sum_{I=2}^{25} \xi_I (\alpha_I^\dagger)^\dagger |p^\dagger, \vec{p}_T^\dagger\rangle$$

$$(9.2) \quad \text{c.f. Maxwell theory, in which } (\alpha_I^\dagger)^\dagger |p^\dagger, \vec{p}_T^\dagger\rangle \longleftrightarrow \alpha_{p^\dagger, \vec{p}_T^\dagger}^\dagger |S\rangle$$

\Rightarrow Quantum open string massless states are the photon.

The astonishment here is that we get a $m^2=0, s=1$ field out of the second lowest mode.

The above states have $N^\perp=1$. Trying for $N^\perp=2$:-
 Built via vacuum plus acting with either one oscillator of momentum too: $(\alpha_I^\dagger)^\dagger (\alpha_J^\dagger)^\dagger |p^\dagger; \vec{p}_T^\dagger\rangle$

String field theory works out (computer, mainly)

\downarrow
 Modern techniques enabled more.

Before starting closed string story, recall that
 $n \cdot X = \alpha' (n \cdot p) \tau$
 $(n \cdot p) \sigma = 2\pi \int_0^\sigma d\sigma' n \cdot \beta^\tau(\tau, \sigma')$ $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi]$

Familiar kinematics

$$\beta^\tau{}^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \dot{X}^\mu, \quad \beta^\sigma{}^\mu = \frac{-1}{2\pi\alpha'} X^{\mu\sigma} \quad (\dot{X}^\mu \pm X^{\mu\sigma})^2 = 0$$

$$\text{and } (\partial_\tau^2 - \partial_\sigma^2) X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = 0$$

Closed String mode expansion

$$(10.1) \quad X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) \equiv X_L^\mu(\tau + \sigma) + X_R^\mu(\tau - \sigma)$$

$$(10.2) \quad \text{Periodicity: } X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = X^\mu(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{only} \\ \text{for topologically} \\ \text{trivial spacetime} \end{array} \right.$$

Define $u = \tau - \sigma$
 $v = \tau + \sigma$

then

$$(10.3) \quad X_L^\mu(u) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-in u}$$

$$(10.4) \quad X_R^\mu(v) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^\mu e^{-in v}$$

and integrating P's gives

$$X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = x_0^\mu + \sqrt{2\alpha'} \alpha_0^\mu \tau + i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{-in\tau}}{n} (\alpha_n^\mu e^{in\sigma} + \bar{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-in\sigma})$$

Then

$$(\dot{X} + X')^\mu = 2X_L^{\mu'}(\tau + \sigma) = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\alpha}_m^\mu e^{-in(\tau + \sigma)}$$

$$(\dot{X} - X')^\mu = 2X_R^{\mu'}(\tau - \sigma) = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_m^\mu e^{-in(\tau - \sigma)}$$

This gives, in very similar way as open string,

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} [\alpha_m^I, \alpha_n^J] &= m \delta_{m+n, 0} \eta^{IJ} \\ [\bar{\alpha}_m^I, \bar{\alpha}_n^J] &= m \delta_{m+n, 0} \eta^{IJ} \\ [\alpha_m^I, \bar{\alpha}_n^J] &= 0 \end{aligned}}$$

Note the indep. L & R CCR's.

Also, see $[x_0^I, p^J] = i\eta^{IJ}$

(11.1)
$$\begin{cases} \text{Then } L_n^\perp = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_p^\perp \alpha_{n-p}^\perp \\ \bar{L}_n^\perp = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\alpha}_p^\perp \bar{\alpha}_{n-p}^\perp \end{cases}$$

(11.2)
$$\begin{cases} \text{whence } X^+ + X^{-1} = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\alpha}_n^- e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} \\ X^+ - X^{-1} = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^- e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} \end{cases}$$

(11.3) Constraint
$$\boxed{L_0^\perp = \bar{L}_0^\perp} = \text{Constraint on physical states}$$

(11.4) Define
$$\bar{L}_0^\perp = \frac{\alpha'}{4} p^\perp p^\perp + \bar{N}^\perp, \quad L_0^\perp = \frac{\alpha'}{4} p^\perp p^\perp + N^\perp$$

(11.5) Again, find $\boxed{D=26}$ from Lorentz operator alg.
 Then for constants in the normal-ordering, $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\}$ would have been worrying if had differed from open!

(11.6)
$$\sqrt{2\alpha'} \bar{\alpha}_0 = \frac{2}{p^+} (\bar{L}_0^\perp - 1), \quad \sqrt{2\alpha'} \alpha_0 = \frac{2}{p^+} (L_0^\perp - 1)$$

in exact analogy to prior open-string case.

(11.7) So
$$L_0^\perp = \bar{L}_0^\perp \Rightarrow \boxed{N^\perp = \bar{N}^\perp}$$

(11.8) while we find the mass-squared as
$$\boxed{\alpha' M^2 = 2(N^\perp + \bar{N}^\perp - 2)}$$

(11.9) with
$$\boxed{H = p^+ p^- \alpha' = \bar{L}_0^\perp + L_0^\perp - 2}$$

Virasoro algebra

$$\begin{cases} [\bar{L}_m^\perp, \bar{\alpha}_n^J] = -n \bar{\alpha}_{m+n}^J & ; [L_m^\perp, \alpha_n^J] = -n \alpha_{m+n}^J & ; \\ [\bar{L}_m^\perp, \alpha_n^J] = 0 & ; [L_m^\perp, \bar{\alpha}_n^J] = 0 & . \end{cases}$$

$$(12.1) \left\{ \begin{aligned} [\bar{L}_m^\perp, x_0^J] &= -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \bar{\alpha}_m^J & ; & \quad [L_m^\perp, x_0^J] = -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\alpha'} \alpha_m^J \end{aligned} \right. \quad (12)$$

Then (p. 256)

$$(12.2) \left\{ \begin{aligned} [L_0^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= -\frac{1}{2} i (\dot{X}^I + X'^I) \\ [L_0^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= -\frac{1}{2} i (\dot{X}^I - X'^I) \end{aligned} \right.$$

See the two open-string structures hiding? 😊

$$(12.3) \Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{aligned} [L_0^\perp + \bar{L}_0^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= -i \frac{\partial X^I}{\partial \tau} \\ [L_0^\perp - \bar{L}_0^\perp, X^I(\tau, \sigma)] &= +i \frac{\partial X^I}{\partial \sigma} \end{aligned}}$$

So $(L_0^\perp - \bar{L}_0^\perp)$ generates translations along σ

$$(12.4) \text{ Writing } \boxed{P \equiv L_0^\perp - \bar{L}_0^\perp}, \quad X^I(\tau, \sigma + \sigma_0) = e^{-i\sigma_0 P} X^I(\tau, \sigma) e^{i\sigma_0 P}$$

P annihilates all closed string states $|\Psi\rangle$:-

$$(12.5) \quad \boxed{e^{-i\sigma_0 P} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle}$$

World-sheet momentum
(not spacetime!)

Closed String State Space

$$(12.6) \quad |\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\rangle = \left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{I=2}^{25} (\alpha_n^I)^\dagger \lambda_{n,I} \right] \times \left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{J=2}^{25} (\bar{\alpha}_n^J)^\dagger \bar{\lambda}_{n,J} \right] |p^\perp, \vec{p}_T\rangle$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} N^\perp &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I=2}^{25} n \lambda_{n,I} \\ \bar{N}^\perp &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J=2}^{25} n \bar{\lambda}_{n,J} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$N^\perp = \bar{N}^\perp$$

$$\alpha' m^2 = 2(N^\perp + \bar{N}^\perp - 2)$$

Work out
first few babies!

Closed-string states

$N^\perp = \bar{N}^\perp \Rightarrow \alpha' m^2 = 2(2N-2) = 4(N-1)$

Lowest $N=0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\alpha' m_T^2 = -4}$ (c.f. -1 for o.s.)
tachyon

Massless $\boxed{\alpha' m^2 = 0} \Rightarrow N^\perp = 1, \bar{N}^\perp = 1 \Rightarrow 2$ oscillators

Can arrange two spatial indices into 3 Lorentz reps

\Rightarrow $\begin{cases} \text{traceless} \\ \text{antisymmetric tensor} \\ \text{traceless symmetric tensor} \end{cases}$

Φ
$B_{(2)}$
$g_{\mu\nu}$

We will develop the physics of these 3 soon.

Dilaton: physical meaning

In QED, $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\hbar c}$ is dimensionless.

What is the analog in ST?

First, we will have to face dimensions, since $16\pi G_D \equiv (2\pi)^{D-3} l_p^{D-2}$ {Newton constant in terms of Planck length}

$16\pi G_{10} = (2\pi)^7 g_s^2 l_s^8$
↑ string coupling



$G_{10-n} = \frac{G_{10}}{V_n}$ ← compact volume

$\Rightarrow G \propto g_s^2$ (if $V_n = \mathcal{O}(l_s^n)$)

also

$g_s \propto g_{\text{open}}^2$

$\boxed{g_s = \langle e^\Phi \rangle}$