

4.1: Stability of planar Newtonian orbits in higher dimensions

Consider the motion of a planet in a planar circular orbit around a heavy star in dimension $D \geq 4$. Keeping the motion planar, consider a small perturbation of the circular orbit, like might occur if a meteorite moving in the orbit plane hit the planet.

- (a) Set up the problem by using $\vec{x} = r(t)\hat{e}_r(t)$ for the planet of mass m . By recruiting conservation of angular momentum L for the planet in the central gravitational force described by the Newtonian potential $\Phi_G^{(D)}$, show that

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} V_{\text{eff}}(r), \quad V_{\text{eff}}(r) \equiv \frac{\Phi_G^{(D)}}{m} + \frac{L^2}{2m^2 r^2}. \quad (1)$$

- (b) Using your result from part (a) and

$$\Phi_G^{(D)} \equiv -\frac{\alpha_D G_D M}{r^{D-3}}, \quad \alpha_D = \text{const.}, \quad (2)$$

show that while planar circular orbits for planets are stable under small planar perturbations in $D = 4$, they are not stable in $D = 5$ or in $D > 5$.

4.2: Newtonian potentials in 5D vs 4D

- (a) Consider 5D in Cartesian coordinates $\{t, x, y, z, w\}$ and put a point mass M at the origin. By starting from the Poisson equation $\vec{\nabla}^2 \Phi_G^{(5)} = 4\pi G_5 \rho_M$ and integrating, show that

$$\Phi_G^{(5)} = -\frac{G_5 M}{\pi \hat{r}^2}, \quad \hat{r}^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \equiv r^2 + w^2. \quad (3)$$

- (b) What happens if we want to roll up w on a circle of radius R while keeping M fixed? This requires a periodic potential. The slickest way to find the answer is to realize that if we keep w unrolled, we need an infinite set of image charges located $2\pi R$ apart along the w axis. Write down the Newtonian potential for this setup. What is $\Phi_G^{(5)}(x, y, z, 0)$ in the limit that $r \gg R$? You should recover the familiar 4D Newtonian potential with $G_4 = G_5/(2\pi R)$.

- (c) A handy identity is

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + (\pi n u)^2} = \frac{1}{u} \coth\left(\frac{1}{u}\right). \quad (4)$$

Use this to find (i) the leading correction to the 4D Newtonian potential for $r \gg R$; and (ii) the leading behaviour of $\Phi_G^{(5)}$ when $r \ll R$.

4.3: Hawking temperature of Tagherlini black holes

When we study QM, we obsess about $e^{-iH\Delta t}$. When we study thermal physics, we obsess about $e^{-\beta H}$, where $\beta = 1/T$. These two setups are formally related to each other by Euclidean continuation, $t_E = it$. Specifically¹, the *periodicity in Euclidean time plays the role of the inverse temperature*. Here we will not explain this neat fact but simply recruit it to find the Hawking temperature of a class of black holes in the most painless way possible.

Consider the spacetime metric for an asymptotically flat neutral black hole in $D \geq 4$,

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_H^{D-3}}{r^{D-3}}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r_H^{D-3}}{r^{D-3}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2, \quad (5)$$

where the horizon radius r_H is related to the mass M via

$$r_H^{D-3} = \frac{16\pi G_D M}{(D-2)\Omega_{D-2}}. \quad (6)$$

This was discovered by Tagherlini² in 1963 and is the higher-D version of Schwarzschild.

- (a) Check using Maxima that this metric satisfies the vacuum Einstein equation with zero cosmological constant – just for the 5D case.
- (b) Show that, near the horizon (and outside it),

$$ds^2 \simeq \frac{\eta^2}{4r_H^2} dt^2 - d\eta^2 - r_H^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2, \quad (7)$$

where η is the proper distance and $D \geq 4$.

- (c) Now continue the time coordinate to Euclidean time, and do a simple rescaling to make the first two terms of the line element look very familiar. Explain geometrically why the rescaled Euclidean time must be periodically identified with period 2π . Undoing the rescaling, show that this gives the Hawking temperature

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}. \quad (8)$$

Use this to explain why the heat capacity of Tagherlini black holes is negative.

- (d) To estimate how fast a black hole loses mass, model it as a blackbody with the area of the horizon at the Hawking temperature and recruit the Stefan-Boltzmann law in D dimensions to find dM/dt . Show that the lifetime of the black hole scales as

$$\Delta t \sim G_D^{2/(D-3)} M^{(D-1)/(D-3)}. \quad (9)$$

For $D = 4$, show that a primordial black hole with a lifetime of the age of the universe began life with a mass of roughly 10^{12} kg. How big was its Schwarzschild radius in metres?

PLEASE TURN PAGE

¹Tony Zee has a nice explanation of this in his “QFT in a Nutshell” textbook, §V.2.

²Why $D \geq 4$? In $D \leq 3$, having a point mass is not compatible with asymptotic flatness.

4.4: Making a new charged KK black hole in 4D

(a) Consider a neutral black string spacetime in 5D with coordinates $\{\hat{t}, r, \theta, \phi, \hat{z}\}$,

$$d\hat{s}^2 = f_h(r) d\hat{t}^2 - \frac{1}{f_h(r)} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) - d\hat{z}^2, \quad f_h(r) \equiv \left(1 - \frac{r_H}{r}\right). \quad (10)$$

Why does this spacetime solve the Einstein equations in 5D?

(b) Now do a Lorentz boost on this black string with rapidity ζ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{t} &= \cosh\zeta dt + \sinh\zeta dz, \\ d\hat{z} &= \sinh\zeta dt + \cosh\zeta dz. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Next, reduce the boosted 5D black string down to 4D along the z direction (*not* along the \hat{z} direction!), using the Kaluza-Klein reduction formula from lecture notes,

$$d\hat{s}^2 = e^{2\alpha\chi} ds^2 - e^{2\beta\chi} (dz + A_\mu dx^\mu)^2, \quad \beta = -(D-2)\alpha, \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2(D-1)(D-2)}. \quad (12)$$

You should obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\beta\chi} &= 1 + \frac{r_H \sinh^2\zeta}{r} \equiv f_s(r), \quad \beta = \frac{\mp 1}{\sqrt{3}}, \\ A_t &= \frac{q_e}{r} f_s^{-1}(r), \quad q_e \equiv r_H \cosh\zeta \sinh\zeta, \\ ds^2 &= \frac{f_h(r)}{\sqrt{f_s(r)}} dt^2 - \sqrt{f_s(r)} \left[\frac{dr^2}{f_h(r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hint: the smart way to find the 4D metric, vector, and scalar from the 5D metric in eq.(12) is to extract $e^{2\beta\chi}$ first from \hat{g}_{zz} , then A_μ from $\hat{g}_{z\mu}$, and lastly $g_{\mu\nu}$ from $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

(c) Starting from our Kaluza-Klein action

$$S[\chi, A_\sigma, g_{\lambda\rho}] = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[R_g + \frac{1}{2} (\partial\chi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{-2(D-1)\alpha\chi} F^2 \right], \quad (14)$$

show that the field equations for our scalar, vector, and spacetime metric in 4D are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \chi &= -\frac{3\beta}{4} e^{3\beta\chi} F^2, \\ \nabla_\mu (e^{3\beta\chi} F^{\mu\nu}) &= 0, \\ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}, \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \nabla_\mu \chi \nabla_\nu \chi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla\chi)^2 + e^{3\beta\chi} \left(-F_{\mu\sigma} F^\sigma{}_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Show that your new solution (13) does indeed solve all three field equations. You will probably want to use Maxima to assist, at least for finding the 4D Einstein tensor.
